SINGLE BENCH (1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1738 /2005

P.R. No. 1730/05
Presented by Shri ...
dated 19.12.05

PETITIONER Bharosa Panka, S/o. Bhaiyalal Panka, aged about 55 years, at present working as Kotwar, R/o- Village-Pandri, Tehsil Marwahi, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary. Deptt. of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Collector, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Pendra Road, Dist. Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
19.12.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1 **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS:**

XI-HC-22

(37)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1738/2005 **/सन् 200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	09.05.2005 Shri F.S. Khare, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy. Heard on I.A. No. 3349/2005, which is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board. I.A. No. 3349/2005 for urgent hearing stands disposed of. Also heard on I.A. No. 3350/2005, which is an application for exemption from filing typed copy of the annexed documents. The application is allowed. The petitioner is exempted from filing typed copy of the annexed documents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed an application under Section 57 (2) of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code which is pending since long back and the same has not been decided by respondent No. 3-Sub Divisional Officer. He refers to the order dated 08.04.2005 passed in W.P. No. 1375/2005 ***(Param Lal –vs- State of Chhattisgarh)*** and prays for similar order, as according to learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petitioner is similarly placed person like petitioner of the aforesaid writ petition. This prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State appearing on advance copy. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to respondent No. 3 to decide the application	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1738/2005 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

under Section 57 (2) of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code filed by the petitioner in accordance with law on its own merits as early as possible positively within three months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Vcdtt